

## **Spanish Lawmakers Should Reject Proposal Aimed at Closing the Door on Justice for the Most Serious Crimes**

*Proposed Bill Limits Spanish Jurisdiction over International Crimes and Would Breach Key International Treaties*

*Madrid, February 10, 2014* – Lawmakers from Spain’s Popular Party are fast-tracking a bill that would limit Spanish courts’ ability to investigate and prosecute serious crimes under international law. The new proposal to reform the country’s universal jurisdiction laws would put Spain in breach of its international obligations and offer the prospect of impunity to many responsible for serious crimes.

The Popular Party seeks to justify the proposed changes by alleging that the country’s current universal jurisdiction laws are being overused or misused. If enacted, however, the proposed bill would close the doors of Spanish courts to the victims of grave human rights violations who are unlikely otherwise to be able to obtain justice, particularly within their own jurisdictions.

The principle of universal jurisdiction allows national courts to try cases of the most serious crimes regardless of where they were committed and the nationality of the perpetrator and/or the victim. These crimes include genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, torture and enforced disappearance. The consensus of the international community is very clear: **these crimes shock the conscience of humanity and must be punished, and it is the duty of all states to investigate and prosecute those responsible for these crimes.**

The proposed bill introduces an extensive and complex set of requirements that must be met before Spanish courts can assert jurisdiction over these crimes.

In particular the bill provides that, for cases involving allegations of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes to be investigated and prosecuted in Spain, the suspect must either be a Spanish national or a foreigner habitually resident in Spain or a foreigner who is in Spain, whose extradition has been denied by Spanish authorities. For torture and enforced disappearance, the proposed bill requires that the suspect be a Spanish national or, alternatively, that the victim be a Spanish national at the time when the crime was committed *and* that the suspect is present in Spain. Where these conditions are not met, the proposal allows Spanish courts to prosecute those crimes that are required by international treaties where the suspect is a foreigner on Spanish soil so long as Spain has received and denied an extradition request.

If enacted, the bill would place Spain in breach of its international law obligations and would be a devastating blow to Spain’s commitment to ensuring accountability for the worst crimes

### **International Legal Background**

The international community has determined that certain crimes, including war crimes, torture, enforced disappearance, are so egregious that all states have a duty either to investigate and prosecute or to extradite any person found on their soil who is suspected of these crimes. At least six key international treaties enshrine the principle of “prosecute or

extradite” (*aut dedere aut judicare*).

For example, the Geneva Conventions state that “Each High Contracting Party shall be under the obligation to search for persons alleged to have committed, or to have ordered to be committed, such grave breaches [i.e. war crimes], and shall bring such persons, regardless of their nationality, before its own courts.” The Rome Statute also emphasizes the important role that states should play in ensuring accountability, providing that the International Criminal Court “shall be complementary to national criminal jurisdictions” and that “it is the duty of every State to exercise its criminal jurisdiction over those responsible for international crimes.” Neither of these treaties, nor any of the other international treaties which concern the obligation to “prosecute or extradite,” supports limiting prosecutions for serious international crimes to alleged perpetrators of particular nationalities or to cases in which an extradition request has been lodged and denied.<sup>1</sup> The proposed bill does just this: it places restrictions on when prosecutions of certain crimes can take place.

In examining this obligation with respect to the Convention against Torture, the International Court of Justice explained in the 2012 case of *Belgium v. Senegal*, “prosecution is an international obligation under the Convention, the violation of which is a wrongful act engaging the responsibility of the State.”<sup>2</sup> The court further held that the state is required “to submit the case to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution, irrespective of the existence of a prior request for the extradition of the suspect.”<sup>3</sup> This means that once Spain becomes aware that a person suspected of these crimes is present on its territory, it must take steps to prosecute—unless it chooses to extradite the suspect to another state or surrender that person to an international criminal court.

The draft bill applies not only to future investigations but also to current investigations, meaning that all current cases on the basis of universal jurisdiction will be closed until it can be proven that they comply with the new requirements. This is at odds with Spain’s duty to carry out effective investigations and prosecutions for these crimes. Furthermore, it may go beyond the legislative authority of Parliament by summarily closing all the investigations. It could also interfere with the independence of the judicial system. Any decision to close a case should be taken by the courts on a case-by-case basis.

The legal restrictions contained in the bill put Spain at risk. First, they violate their international law obligations and flout the International Court of Justice decision on the duty to “prosecute or extradite.” Consequently, the bill would expose Spain to being brought before the International Court of Justice, the U.N. Committee against Torture, and the U.N. Committee on Enforced Disappearances. Second—and at a more basic level—the bill would damage Spain’s international reputation and make it an outlier in European Union Member States’ common fight against impunity for international crimes.

When Spain ratified international treaties, it affirmed its legal commitment to be bound to deny safe haven to perpetrators of the world’s most serious crimes and to fulfill its obligation

---

<sup>1</sup> These treaties include the Geneva Conventions of 1949, the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation, the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft, and the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Materials.

<sup>2</sup> Questions Concerning the Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (*Belgium v. Senegal*), Judgment (July 20, 2012), paras. 94 and 95.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, para. 94.

to investigate and prosecute suspects of these crimes. We urge Spain to uphold these commitments and ensure that any reforms to its universal jurisdiction laws are consistent with international law.

**The signatory organizations will continue to support the cause of justice for all victims of crimes under international law. Spain must respect the legality of its international obligations and be sensitive to the needs of victims. In the world's struggle to end mass atrocities, Spain was once at the vanguard. We must not let it fall behind.**

Addameer Prisoner Support and Human Rights Association - Palestine  
ADHOC, Cambodian Human Rights and Development Association – Cambodia  
AEDH, Association Européenne pour la Défense des Droits de l'Homme  
AEDIDH, Asociación Española por el Derecho Internacional de los Derechos Humanos  
AI, Amnistía Internacional  
Al-Haq - OPT  
Al Mezan Center for Human Rights - Palestine  
ALTSEAN-Burma , Alternative ASEAN Network on Burma - Burma  
ANUE, Asociación para las Naciones Unidas en España  
APDHA, Asociación Pro Derechos Humanos de Andalucía  
APDHE, Asociación Pro Derechos Humanos de España  
APRODEH, Asociación Pro Derechos Humanos - Peru  
Asociación de Mujeres Gitanas “Alboreá”  
Asociación por la Recuperación e Investigación Contra el Olvido  
Asociación Unidad Cívica por la República  
Asociación Watani para La Libertad y la Justicia  
ASOCIACIÓN ANDALUZA MEMORIA HISTÓRICA Y JUSTICIA.  
Associació Memòria de Mallorca  
Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies – Egypt  
CAJ, Comité de Acción Jurídica - Argentina  
CALDH, Centro para la Acción Legal en Derechos Humanos - Guatemala  
CAT, Comité de Apoyo al Tíbet  
CAUM, Club de Amigos de la Unesco de Madrid  
CCHR, Civic Committee for Human Rights, Croacia  
CCIJ, Canadian Centre for International Justice  
CCR, Center for Constitutional Rights  
CCS, Centro de Capacitación Social – Panama  
CDHG, Comisión de Derechos Humanos de Guatemala  
CDHU, Comisión Ecueménica de Derechos Humanos  
CEAR, Comisión Española de Ayuda al Refugiado CEDH, Centre Oecuménique des Droits Humains - Haïti  
CEAS-Sáhara, Coordinadora Estatal de Asociaciones Solidarias con el Sáhara  
CEDAL, Centro de Derechos y Desarrollo – Peru  
CEDH, Centre Oecuménique des Droits Humains - Haïti  
CELS, Centro de Estudios Legales y Sociales - Argentina  
CGT, Confederación General del Trabajo  
CIPRODEH, Centro de Investigación y Promoción de los Derechos Humanos de Honduras - Honduras  
Civil Society Institute – Armenia  
CJA, Center for Justice & Accountability

CMDPDH, Comisión Mexicana de Defensa y Promoción de los Derechos Humanos – Mexico  
COFADEH, Comité de Familiares de Detenidos-Desaparecidos en Honduras  
Colectivo de Abogados "José Alvear Restrepo" - Colombia  
Comisión de Libertades e Informática  
Comisión General de Justicia y Paz  
Comisión Mexicana de Defensa y Promoción de los Derechos Humanos  
Comisión Nacional de los Derechos Humanos - Dominican Republic  
Comisión pola Recuperación da Memoria Histórica da Coruña  
Comité Permanente por la Defensa de los Derechos Humanos - Colombia  
Coordinadora para la memoria histórica y democrática de Madrid  
Corporacion Yurupari – Colombia  
Defence for Children International - Palestine section  
ECCHR, European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights  
EGJustice  
Federación de Asociaciones de Defensa y Promoción de los Derechos Humanos, España  
Federació Catalana d'ONG per la Pau, els Drets Humans i el Desenvolupament  
Federación Estatal de FELGTB  
Federación Estatal de Foros por la Memoria  
FIACAT, Federación Internacional de la Acción de los Cristianos para la Abolición de la Tortura  
FIBGAR, Fundación Internacional Baltasar Garzón  
FIDH, International Federation for Human Rights  
FLHR, Finnish League for Human Rights, Finland  
FONGDCAM, Coordinadora de ONG de Desarrollo de la Comunidad de Madrid  
Foro por la memoria  
Fundació Casa del Tibet  
Fundación Abogacía Española  
Fundación Andreu Nin  
Fundación CIVES, España  
Fundación Cultura de Paz  
Fundación Seminario de Investigación para la Paz de Zaragoza  
FundiPau, Fundació per la Pau  
Goldatu Sozio-kultural Elkartea  
HLHR, Hellenic League for Human Rights – Greece  
HRCF, Human Rights Commission of Pakistan - Pakistan  
HRW, Human Rights Watch  
Human Rights Movement "Bir Duino-Kyrgyzstan" - Kyrgyzstan  
ICID, Iniciativas de Cooperacion Internacional para el Desarrollo  
ICJ, International Commission of Jurists  
ICT, International Campaign for Tibet  
IDHC, Institut de Drets Humans de Catalunya  
IEPALA, Instituto de Estudios Políticos para América Latina y África  
ILMR, Internationale Liga für Menschenrechte/ International League for Human Rights, Germany  
ILSA, Instituto Latinoamericano para una sociedad y un Derecho Alternativos - Colombia  
INREDH, Fundación Regional de Asesoría en Derechos Humanos  
International Human Rights Clinic, Boston University  
Justicia y Paz  
Kenya Human Rights Commission - Kenya  
La Comision Ecumenica de Derechos Humanos, Ecuador

Latvian Human Rights Committee, Latvia  
LAW, Lawyers against the War  
Lawyers Without Borders Canada  
LDDHI, League for the Defence of Human Rights in Iran - Iran  
LDH, Ligue des Droits de l'Homme – Belgium  
League for Human Rights (Liga voor de Rechten van de Mens - LvRM) - the Netherlands  
LICADHO, Cambodian League for the Promotion and Defense of Human Rights –  
Cambodia  
LIDU onlus - Lega Italiana dei Diritti dell'Uomo – Italy  
Liga argentina por los derechos del hombre - Argentina  
Liga Española Pro Derechos Humanos  
Ligue des droits et libertés - Canada  
LMHR, Lao Movement for Human Rights - Laos  
Lualua Centre for Human Rights - Bahrain  
Movimiento contra la Intolerancia  
MPDL, Movimiento por la Paz  
Mundubat  
Observatori DESC  
Observatorio Ciudadano de Chile  
Odhikar - Bangladesh  
PAHRA, Philippine Alliance of Human Rights Advocates – Philippines  
Paz y Cooperación  
Plataforma contra la impunidad del franquismo  
Pozo grajero  
QUIT, Quaker Initiative to End Torture  
Ramallah Center for Human Rights Studies - Palestine  
Recuperación de la Memoria Histórica de Valladolid  
Redress  
RIS, Rights International Spain  
RNDDH, Réseau national de défense des droits humains  
Sección de Extranjería y Derechos Humanos del Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Valencia  
Seminario Galego de Educación para a Paz  
TAHR, Taiwan Association for Human Rights – Taiwan  
Todos los niños robados son también mis niños  
Trial, Track Impunity Always  
UGT, Unión General de Trabajadores - (España)  
UNESCO Etxea